

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/800,678	SEKINE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Fayez G. Assaf	2872	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable.

 (1) Fayez G. Assaf.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Alan Kasper.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1-10, 18-23

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Hattori et al. (US 6,111,670)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The Examiner proposed an amendment to the claims in order to overcome the reference of Hattori and place the application in condition for allowance. On 8/20/2004, Mr. Kasper phoned the Examiner approving the proposed amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)